



5100 Springfield Pike, Suite 510  
Dayton, OH 45431  
(937) 258-0141  
FAX (937) 252-3739

30 JAN 2004

DISTRIBUTION: HQ AFRC/XPR (Col. Lech), OL-S (Col. Speer/Majs. Hoskins/Moore)  
PESystems, Inc./L. Bogemann, M. Tucker, C. Lanier, M. Sieverding,  
other DMO representatives within the commands

FROM: Norman Tucker

SUBJECT: Trip Report

1. Purpose: Attend DMO O-6 Meeting at Rosslyn, VA
2. Traveler(s): Mr. Norm Tucker
3. Itinerary: Depart Dayton, OH/Arrive Rosslyn VA – 26 JAN 04  
Meeting – 27-28 JAN 04  
Depart Rosslyn, VA / Dayton, OH – 28 JAN 04
4. Discussions:
  - A. Regarding the DMO IPT charter's 3 non-concur comments: first, the DMO O-6 IPT board will not be a co-chaired position by AF/XIWM, AF/XOOT will be the sole chair. Second, ACC/DRA comment regarding line 103 of the charter, "The DMO IPT will define and develop operational requirements, resource needs, and an implementation plan.", This section/sentence will be modified in the next release to reflect support of requirements definition and development to the extent they would be impacted by DMO in stead of implying any overarching control over general requirements. Third, there will not be a security working group per se; only a security panel to oversee all associated impacts security has on the other DMO working groups or technical/operational areas. Expect to see the updated IPT charter before the 18-19 Feb 04 DMO working group (DMO WG) meeting in Orlando.
  - B. Regarding the DMO implementation plan (I Plan), I-Plan should be released to the 4/3-letters MAJCOM offices before the DMO working group meeting. Bring comments to the DMO WG meeting to discuss comments and make updates as necessary. AF/XO would like to brief the CSAF, on 31 Mar 04, that the I-Plan is out for coordination at the 2-letter level. This plan as presented at this meeting was very rough and will need some additional work. Personally, I not sure this suspense will be achievable. I-Plan shall contain top level information regarding

capabilities that need to be delivered with DMO, “POMing” information/dates for these capabilities and key milestones.

- C. Lt Col Lawhead discussed the current PE 27701 and the need for a new PE specifically for DMO since many organizations believe the 27701 PE is just an ACC related DMO PE. Discussions centered on having a new PE to accommodate ACC, other MAJCOM related DMO requirements and Training Transformation (T<sup>2</sup>) initiatives. Expect to AF level action to establish a new PE.
  - D. Regarding T<sup>2</sup>, there is funding (\$20M~\$40 M) in this area to support DMO initiatives, however, the staffers present believe that the requirement must relate to a joint force DMO initiatives to stand the best chance of capturing funding. For example, conduct a study to evaluate the best approach to data base implementations across a joint networking environment. Col Hershey, AF/XOOT indicated there were no immediate funds available at the AF level to implement specific DMO requirements on a legacy system. Additionally, he indicated the CSAF didn't make a blanket statement that leased training devices are the only way ahead for DMO development/initiatives.
  - E. Regarding “fencing” MAJCOM DMO/training funds inside the flying hour program (FHP) line, Col Bishop briefed ACC was evaluating training tasks to be moved to networked training devices and the cost trade offs for the migrations of these training tasks. Estimated offsets to fund MAJCOM DMO initiatives out of the associated FHPs were in the 5%~10% arenas. Additionally, these recommended offsets would vary directly as the level of DMO participation varied. This decision needs to address all commands before submitting an approach to the CSAF. In general, this type of funding approach will probably be implemented in some form or another to fund the DMO development. It will be up the commands to make sure that the plan/strategies that gets presented to the CSAF contains inputs across the commands and not just an ACC approach.
5. Conclusions/Recommendations:
- A. Review IPT charter and I-Plan before the upcoming DMO WG meeting.
  - B. Provide requirements to Lt Col Lawhead (DSN 223-2267) ASAP regarding any T<sup>2</sup> initiatives supporting joint synthetic battle space development. The urgency stems from his suspense for the FY06 POM cycle and associated inputs.
  - C. For those attending the DMO WG meeting in Orlando in Feb, expect to discuss issues relating to the annual DISA waiver to operate DMO independently, the two-year schedule of events to support DMO, technical issues (data base issues, scenarios, multilevel security, etc.), points of contact within the AF and within the joint community.

Sincerely,

Norman Tucker,  
AFRC Aircrew Training Systems Program Manager  
PE Systems, Inc.